

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,354	04/27/2001	Tomoko Terakado	450100-4138.1	3992
20999 FROMMER L	7590 01/28/2008 AWRENCE & HAUG		EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			BROWN, RUEBEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY·MODE
			01/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/844,354	TERAKADO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Reuben M. Brown	2623
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Ily be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice in the practice of the second secon	☑ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrictions.	vithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc e correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority doc 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application

√ Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/29/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2623

4. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawler, (5,585,838), view of Roop, (U.S. Pat # 5,790,198) and Ogdon, (U.S. Pat # 6,598,075).

Considering claims 1, 11-12, the claimed information providing apparatus and method, comprising;

'hierarchizing section for hierarchizing EPG information to be provided into first information which designates predetermined information on specific broadcast programs, and second information, referred to by the first information as general information on the program', is met by the disclosure of Lawler, (Fig. 4A-4C; col. 11, lines 10-65). Lawler teaches that the EPG display provides a plurality of channel slots/objects that contain the titles of broadcast programs. Both the channel slots and the focus frame 102 that highlights a selected channel slot reads on the claimed first information. The second information reads on the title of the programs in Lawler. The 'hierarchizing means' reads on the headend 12 in Lawler, which generates EPG data, see Fig. 1; col. 6; col. 12, lines 60-67 thru col. 13, lines 1-10.

Lawler does not specifically discuss whether one of the EPG information may or may be changed. However, the additional feature of, 'wherein the first information, which can change, comprises EPG information associated with a program', reads on the broadcast start time of a particular broadcast program, see Roop, col. 75, lines 30-67 thru col. 76, lines 1-25; col. 77, lines 15-35; col. 81, lines 10-48& Table LXI. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2623

as taught by Roop.

the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Lawler with the feature of updating changed broadcast time of programs, providing the subscriber with update schedule information

'wherein the second information, which cannot be changed, comprises EPG information associated with a program', is broad enough to read on any of the parameters of the Show list record that are not changed, and any parameters of the EPG in Lawler that are not changed.

'first providing section for providing at least one of the first information and second information', is inherent in Roop which discloses the EPG information packets, that carry EPG data from a broadcaster to a subscriber terminal, see Table LXI.

'second providing section for providing layout information which relates to a layout of the EPG information when displayed on the screen, wherein the first information and the second information each include a tag to enable the data included therein to be associated with the layout information, is inherent in both Lawler & Roop, since the elements of the EPG records are synchronized for display as a unit.

The claimed 'layout information' reads on the combination of Lawler (col. 7, lines 51-65 & col. 8, lines 21-45) and Roop.

Art Unit: 2623

As for the additionally claimed feature of, 'wherein the EPG is displayed according to a delivery medium', Lawler & Roop do not discuss displaying the images based on the delivery method. Nevertheless, Ogdon provides a teaching of displaying images based on the transmission parameters of the delivery network. In particular, Ogdon teaches that the server transmits images over different transmission medium, with different transmission characteristics, such as its data transmission rate, which is at least partially based on the network bandwidth, Abstract; col. 3, lines 35-65; col. 20, lines 10-24; col. 23, lines 4-67 thru col. 24, lines 1-29. Such that images with high resolution are transmitted over a high bandwidth medium, whereas images with lower resolution are transmitted over a low bandwidth medium. Therefore the same image may be simultaneously displayed at a plurality of client devices, differently, according to the delivery method. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in art, to operate Lawler & Roop in a manner wherein images are displayed based on the transmission medium, which allows each client/subscriber to receive/view the transmitted images based on their own network's performance instead of a one-size-fits-all model, as discussed by Ogdon (col. 1, col. 2 & col. 3).

Considering claims 2, 6 & 20, Lawler teaches that EPG may be transmitted to the station controller 18, at least by satellite or CATV which meets the requirement, see col. 7, lines 1-15.

Considering claim 3, Roop teaches that the EPG may be updated, col. 77, lines 20-35.

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claims 4-5, Roop teaches the use of the VBI to transmit the EPG data, col. 56, lines 5-15; col. 60, lines 40-64.

Considering claims 7-8, Roop teaches detecting when information within the EPG needs to be updated and only updating that information, which reads on the claimed subject matter, col. 75, lines 30-55; col. 76, lines 10-22.

Considering claims 9-10, the claimed third & fourth information reads on the additional information associated with the programs in Roop, see Table LXI. As for the information identifying a performer, Roop teaches that one of the parameters associated with a TV program may be the actor(s) such as Star 1- Star 3, col. 78, lines 35-45.

Considering claims 13, 15-16 & 21-25, the claimed elements that correspond with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claims 1 & 11, are likewise treated. The additionally claimed features of a first and second acquiring section for acquiring information regarding the first and second information and the layout information is met by the operation of the interactive station controller 18, Lawler col. 7, lines 20-25 & col. 8, lines 21-30, which controls storing and retrieving the EPG information from storage. The claimed 'producing section for producing display information', reads on the operation of the graphics subsystem 62, which includes video processor subsystem 63 and mixer 64,see col. 7, lines 50-60, in Lawler, in combination with Ogdon.

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claim 14, Lawler provides a display means (Fig. 2).

Considering claims 17-18, the feature of first information means designated as second intermediate information reads on combination of Lawler, such as the program grid 80 and program tile 88, col. 8, lines 45-60 & Fig. 3 and Roop.

Considering claims 19-20, Lawler teaches that the layout information may be received over a wired or satellite connection, and at least temporarily stored in memory in the station controller 18, col. 5, lines 45-60 & col. 7, lines 44-65.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,354 Page 8

Art Unit: 2623

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7290 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reuben M. Brown M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-7290. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:30-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone numbers for the organization
where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and After
Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reuben M. Brown

REUBENM. BROWN PRITENT EXAMINER